“Liberal Jon Corzine”

“With Corzine’s record as one of the most liberal members of the U.S. Senate, New Jersey voters are sure to have a clear choice in November…” (Asbury Park Press, Editorial, “Bosses, money win round one,” 02/01/2005)


“But If You Want Smaller Government, Corzine Is Not Your Man. If He Finds Ways To Cut Spending In One Area, He'll Spend It Somewhere Else.” (Tom Moran, “Fiscal reality takes a knife to Corzine's pricey agenda,” The Star Ledger, September 23, 2005)


“I Hope My Opponent Is Right That There’s So Much Waste, Fraud And Abuse,’ Corzine Says. ‘Then I Can Do Other Programs.’” (Tom Moran, “Fiscal reality takes a knife to Corzine's pricey agenda,” The Star Ledger, September 23, 2005)

“[Corzine's] Voted for Higher Taxes 133 times.”

VOTED 22 TIMES FOR TAX INCREASES TOTALING MORE THAN $280 BILLION (S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #71: Rejected 45-54: R 0-54; D 44-0; I 1-0, 3/17/05, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #63: Rejected 40-59: R 0-54; D 40-4; I 0-1, 3/17/05, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #61: Rejected 44-56: R 1-54; D 42-2; I 1-0, 3/17/05, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #51: Rejected 46-52: R 4-51; D 41-1; I 1-0, 3/17/05, Corzine Voted Yea; H.R. 4567, CQ Vote #170: Motion Rejected 41-53: R 1-48; D 39-5; I 1-0, 9/9/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #130: Rejected 44-53: R 1-48; D 42-5; I 1-0, 6/17/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. 1637, CQ Vote #53: Motion Agreed To 60-39: R 51-0; D 8-39; I 1-0, 5/3/04, Corzine Voted Nay; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #53: Rejected 42-54: R 0-51; D 41-3; I 1-0, 3/11/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #51: Rejected 44-53: R 1-50; D 42-3; I 1-0, 3/11/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #50: Rejected 40-57: R 0-51; D 39-6; I 1-0, 3/11/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #48: Rejected 43-53: R 0-50; D 42-3; I 1-0, 3/11/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #47: Rejected 43-53: R 0-51; D 42-2; I 1-0, 3/11/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #46: Rejected 32-64: R 2-49; D 29-15; I 1-0, 3/11/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #44: Rejected 41-55: R 0-51; D 40-4; I 1-0, 3/11/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #42: Rejected 41-55: R 0-51; D 40-4; I 1-0, 3/11/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #40: Rejected 46-51: R 0-50; D 45-1; I 1-0, 3/10/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #35: Rejected 46-52: R 0-51; D 45-1; I 1-0, 3/10/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. Con. Res. 95, CQ Vote #34: Rejected 44-53: R 1-50; D 42-3; I 1-0, 3/9/04, Corzine Voted Yea; S. 1689, CQ Vote #73: Motion Agreed To 57-42: R 50-1; D 7-40; I 0-1, 10/2/03, Corzine Voted Nay; S. 1054, CQ Vote #170: Motion Rejected 51-49: R 49-2; D 2-46; I 0-1, 5/15/03, Corzine Voted Nay; S. Con. Res. 23, CQ Vote #97: Rejected 43-56: R 1-50; D 41-6; I 1-0, 3/25/03, Corzine Voted Yea)

VOTED 37 TIMES AGAINST TAX CUTS TOTALING NEARLY $4.5 TRILLION (S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #81: Adopted 51-49: R 51-4; D 0-44; I 0-1, 3/17/05, Corzine Voted Nay; Jonathan Allen and Joseph J. Schatz, “Budget Writers To Wrestle With Senate’s Changes,” CQ Today, 10/9/04)
VOTED 53 TIMES TO REDUCE SIZE OF TAX CUT

(S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 37-63: R 1-54; D 35-9; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 45-55: R 0-55; D 44-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 47-53: R 3-52; D 43-1; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #18: Rejected 55-45: R 5-45; D 50-0; 1-10, 3/17/05,
But while Corzine was voting for higher taxes on seniors and working families…

Corzine Voted For Higher Taxes On Social Security Benefits:

- In 2005, Voted Against Amendment To Repeal 1993 Tax Increase On Social Security Benefits, Increasing “Five-Year Tax Cut Reconciliation Instruction Figure By $63.9 Billion.” (S. Con. Res. 18, CQ Vote #74: Adopted 55-45: R 50-5; D 5-39; I 0-1, 3/17/05, Corzine Voted Nay)


Corzine Voted For Higher Taxes On Working Families:


[Corzine] was also voting to give himself and his multi-millionaire friends a huge tax break.

"U.S. Sen. Jon Corzine Voted To Give Himself And A Select Set Of Fellow Millionaire Investors A Lucrative Tax Break From Their Controversial Takeover Of A Japanese Bank. Corzine Cast That Vote In March 2004 As A Member Of The Senate Foreign Relations Committee…" (John P. McAlpin and Clint Riley, "Corzine vote aided investment deal," The Record, September 15, 2005)
“A tax break that saved him millions of dollars.”

“It Saved Corzine And His Partners Millions Of Dollars In Tax Payments.” (John P. McAlpin and Clint Riley, “Corzine vote aided investment deal,” The Record, September 15, 2005)