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Narrator:

Americans expect their elections to have safeguards. Only then can we have confidence the results are fair and accurate.

Incredibly, some in Congress want to strip away those critical safeguards.

No more Voter ID.

Signature verification on absentee ballots – virtually eliminated.

And effectively allowing non-citizens to vote.

The list goes on...

Every illegal vote cancels someone’s legitimate vote – like yours.

Tell your Senators your vote matters.

Tell them to vote no on Senate Bill 1.”

On Screen Disclaimer: Paid for by Restoration Action, Inc.
Claim 1

“No more Voter I.D.”

Evidence: H.R. 1 (For the People Act of 2021) would eliminate any requirements for voter to prove identification when voting. Many states require some form of identification be presented at the polls or in a mail ballot to prove the identity of the voter to election judges and officials before their ballot is cast. This bill would circumvent any proof of identification requirement for voting by allowing voters to simply sign affidavits instead of proving their identity.

Citation:
H.R. 1 (For the People Act of 2021) Sec. 1903
https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1/text?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22HR%22%5D%7D&r=1&s=2#toc-H37F2B2DE4C3D46CF9DC1B1C4B0950FD7

Claim 2

“Signature verification on absentee ballots – virtually eliminated.”

Evidence: H.R. 1 (For the People Act of 2021) could have a large impact on reducing signature verification requirements for absentee/mail ballots.
#1: It leaves states the option to not verify absentee/mail ballots with a signature.
#2: It would only allow signature verification to be used if a state met the requirements set forth in the bill.
#3: It requires that no less than two election judges have to agree to dismiss a signature which limits the capability for ballots to be dismissed based on signature verification.
#4: It allows for absentee/mail ballots rejected due to a signature challenge to be cured and rehabilitated after they have been rejected.
#5: It does not require an individual whose rejected signature and ballot to cure the discrepancy in-person. Allowing for over-the-phone phone verification to accept a rejected signature renders the process useless if an individual can simply vocalize their identity without any physical proof.

Citation:
H.R. 1 (For the People Act of 2021) Sec. 1621-1624
https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1/text?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22HR%22%5D%7D&r=1&s=2#toc-H37F2B2DE4C3D46CF9DC1B1C4B0950FD7
Claim 3

“And effectively allowing non-citizens to vote.”

Evidence: H.R. 1 (For the People Act of 2021) would through a variety of methods and agencies automatically register people to vote. This bill offers a protection to those who are non-citizens that they cannot be punished by state or federal law for being registered to vote, even though they are non-citizens. This bill operates under the assumption that non-citizens will be automatically registered based on the mass approach of government registration versus citizens registering themselves. Even though non-citizen voting is still not technically legal from this bill, it allows them to be registered to vote. The national voting process assumes that only citizens are registered, thus making it impossible for poll workers and election judges to discern if the person registered to vote is a citizen or non-citizen. This bill effectively allows non-citizens to vote because there is no legal or process barrier preventing them from actually voting.

Citation:
H.R. 1 (For the People Act of 2021) Sec. 1011-1021
https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1/text?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22HR+1%22%5D%7D&r=1&s=2#toc-H37F2B2DE4C3D46CF9DC1B1C4B0950FD7