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until we have succeeded. Those who oppose this amendment need to understand that when they vote “no” they will be voting against victims of domestic violence and abuse.

Looking back over the past 4 years, I am proud and grateful we have made on reducing the deficit and yet I know that it was not an easy task. I always believed we could balance the budget and still maintain important investment programs, but it does take a great deal of faith and many tough decisions. As a member of the Senate Budget Committee I have had to make those decisions and choices. But, I always knew that it could be done. Today’s agreement is my proof.

Mr. President, I will support the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 which takes us the final step in a process begun in 1993. It reflects a considerable bipartisan accomplishment. While I don’t agree with it in every specific, it gives a significant boost to education, provides for the largest investment in health care for children in 30 years, protects Medicare and Medicaid, and it reaches a balanced budget by the year 2002.

In 1992, the deficit in the federal budget was $290 billion which represented 4.7 percent of the gross domestic product. The most recent estimate of the deficit for fiscal year 1997 is $67 billion, approximately eight tenths of 1 percent of the gross domestic product. Over the 5 years from 1993 to 1998, the deficit has been reduced by about $1 trillion from the deficit for those 5 years projected at the time the president presented his budget and balances budget by 2002. The reason this has come about in large part as a result of the deficit reduction package which President Clinton presented in 1993, and which this Senate passed, without a single Republican vote, by a margin of one vote, the Vice Presidents.

The economy has responded to the steady reduction of the deficit. The economy grew for the first quarter of 1997 at a 5.9 percent rate, with an inflation rate of 1.8 percent. The unemployment rate is now 5 percent, the lowest in 24 years. This compares to an unemployment rate in 1992 of 7.5 percent. More than 12 million new jobs have been created since President Clinton took office. Now, this bill holds the promise of bringing us even closer to finishing the job.

I opposed this bill when it originally passed the Senate in part because it included a provision to increase the eligibility for Medicare, and a second provision to require a $5 per visit copayment for home health care. I am pleased that both provision were deleted from the legislation by the conference committee.

I am also pleased that this bill restores benefits for legal immigrants who are currently receiving assistance or who become disabled and protects the minimum wage and other protections for welfare recipients moving from welfare to work.

Mr. President, this bill will secure the Medicare trust fund for at least the next decade, and provides for additional preventive benefits. It represents hard work and compromise and demonstrates that when the Congress moves in a bipartisan way, much can be accomplished.

Mr. President, I come to the chamber today to support this balanced budget. We have worked for many years, making hard choices, fighting for our priorities, managing this country’s budget process—all in order to be able to stand in the Chamber as members of both political parties in support of a balanced budget.

It is not the bill I would have written, but there is a large degree of foolishness in rejecting the good in favor of the perfect. A great debt is owed to the chairman and ranking member of the Finance Committee and their counterparts on the Budget Committee as well as their staffs who have worked with us over the course of these many months in crafting this plan.

And, there is no question in my mind, Mr. President, that this legislation is better than the deal the Senate passed last month—a plan I opposed because it did not provide enough for hard-working American families and largely ignored America’s children. This legislation before us now incorporates many of the provisions I and others on this side of the aisle fought to have included.

For that reason, this is a day of vindication for Americans who believe, as Democrats have proven, that it is vital to balance the Federal budget and extend benefits to provide broader educational opportunities, ensure the future for our senior citizens and safeguard our environment.

Since 1993, we have moved in this direction. In 1993, when the first Demo- crat and Democrats formed the majority in both Houses of Congress, we have worked arduously to break the spiraling deficits which plagued our Nation for a decade and provide a solid economic foundation as we move into the 21st century. And, Mr. President, we’ve succeeded. We have waited for the day when the benefits of our hard work would be as obvious as they are today.

Even the possibility of the legislation before us now—a conceptually balanced budget with tax breaks—is testament to the application of Democratic ideals to fiscal policy. In 5 years, we cut the deficit from $290 billion to the current level of perhaps less than $50 billion. Interest rates are subdued. We are seeing the lowest unemployment and inflation rates and the largest drop in poverty rates in a generation.

This reduced inflation has shown the best improvement since the Eisenhower administration and the value of the stock market has doubled since 1993—the Dow break records every day—and the market itself is experiencing the fastest growth since the Second World War.

We have been successful, because, since the Great Depression, our party has stuck by the fundamental belief that sound economic and social policy go hand-in-glove, that our Nation is stronger when all Americans have equal economic opportunity.

Thomas Jefferson taught us that our is a Nation of the common man and enshrined this belief in one of our most treasured documents when he wrote of the self-evident truth that all men are created equal.

Andrew Jackson echoed this creed when he restored the party’s commitment to the humble members of our society—the farmers, mechanics and laborers. That commitment, that core set of beliefs, is in fact, Mr. President, the essence of the American dream and the foundation of what has become the greatest contribution this Nation has provided to the world’s social economic history—the growth of a vibrant middle class. Universal economic opportunity, sound fiscal policy based on equitable distribution of benefits and assistance to those most in need—these are the fundamentals of Democratic economic policy. That is the goal of the program we put in place in 1993, and that is the end to which our fiscal policy is directed. We have reminded us of our commitment to expanding opportunity when he said: “the spirit of opportunity is the kind of spirit that has led us as a Nation—not as a small group but as a Nation—to meet very great problems.”

Mr. President, as Democrats, we believe that deficit reduction is a means to an end. We believe that tax breaks are a means to an end. But, unlike the Republicans, we do not subscribe to the callow notion that deficit reduction is an economic policy in and of itself or that tax breaks are an end which justify any means. We do not believe that cutting vital programs is a courageous or visionary act. We believe that courage lies in advancing economic opportunity; this requires innovation and prescience. It is chilling that this dichotomy of political and economic philosophy remains as obviously demarcated today as it was 100 years ago. I re-read the cogent description by William Jennings Bryan of the two opposing ideas of government: he separated the parties into those who “legislate to make the well-to-do prosperous and wait for their prosperity to leak through on those below, or those who legislate to make the masses prosperous and ensuring that their prosperity will find its way up through every class which rests upon them.”

Mr. President, as a U.S. Senator, I have an obligation to the constituents who elected me to represent their interests, to act on their behalf and to present their views to this body. At times here, there is often a temptation to acquiesce ones core set of beliefs to the majority. It is easier to be hidden by the crowd than to stand alone and fight. I believe it is simpler to obey the tenets of a deal than the core of ones belief, more political to do what is possible than do what is right, and more efficient to...